Case 2:13-cv-07029-R-AJW Document 19 Filed 01/23/14 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:124

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 WESTERN DIVISION THE HON. JUDGE MANUEL L. REAL, JUDGE PRESIDING 4 5 6 NINA PARKINSON,)) 7 Plaintiff,)) 8 NO. 13-CV-7029-R) vs.) 9 ROBANDA INTERNATIONAL, INC.,)) 10 Defendant.) 11 12 13 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 14 15 Los Angeles, California Monday, November 25, 2013 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 LISA M. GONZALEZ, CSR No. 5920, CCRR U.S. District Courthouse 24 312 North Spring Street - Room 438 Los Angeles, California 90012 25 213.894.2979; www.lisamariecsr.com

Case 2:13-cv-07029-R-AJW Document 19 Filed 01/23/14 Page 2 of 6 Page ID #:125

APPEARANCES: FOR THE PLAINTIFF: TROJAN LAW OFFICES BY: R. JOSEPH TROJAN 9250 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 325 Beverly Hills, California 90212 (310) 777-8399 FOR THE DEFENDANT: GABRIEL, SALOMONS LLP BY: DAVIS S. MAYES 16311 Ventura Boulevard Suite 970 Encino, California 91436-2124

Case 2:13-cv-07029-R-AJW Document 19 Filed 01/23/14 Page 3 of 6 Page ID #:126

3

Los Angeles, California, Monday, November 25, 2013 1 10:00 A.M. 2 3 -000-THE CLERK: Calling item number 2, CV-13-7029, 4 Nina Parkinson versus Robanda International. 5 6 MR. TROJAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Joseph 7 Trojan for Nina Parkinson. 8 MR. MAYES: Good morning, Your Honor. David Mayes 9 for Robanda International, Inc. THE COURT: Counsel, anything to add to the 10 11 documents which have been filed? 12 MR. TROJAN: No, Your Honor. 13 MR. MAYES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Parkinson has sued Robanda 14 15 International, Inc., for trademark infringement and unfair 16 competition. Robanda has moved to dismiss this suit. 17 On a motion to dismiss, the trial court takes all 18 well pleaded facts in the Complaint to be true and 19 determines whether, based upon those facts, the Complaint 20 states a claim upon which relief may be granted. Federal 21 Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). 22 Although factual assertions are taken as true, the 23 court does not accept legal conclusions as true: Ashcroft 24 v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009). A sale of a trademark 25 divorced from its goodwill is an assignment-in-gross and

Case 2:13-cv-07029-R-AJW Document 19 Filed 01/23/14 Page 4 of 6 Page ID #:127

4

barred by the Latham Act, McCarthy Trademarks and Unfair 1 2 Competition, section 18.1. The recitation of a transfer of 3 goodwill in an assignment does not satisfy the anti 4 assignment-in-gross rule: Money Store v. Harriscorp 5 Finance, Inc., 689 F.2d 667, (Seventh Circuit 1982). 6 Parkinson alleges that she is the owner of 7 Maryland trademark. Ownership of a valid trademark is a 8 legal conclusion that this court cannot accept as 9 necessarily true. 10 Parkinson alleges that the trademark was assigned 11 to her but also alleges that she never sold products under 12 the Maryland mark and the business assets were transferred 13 to Robanda, nor does Parkinson aver that any goodwill was 14 actually transferred besides the formal recitation in the 15 assignment. 16 Parkinson has thus alleged an assignment-in-gross 17 of only the trademark without the business or goodwill 18 accompanying the mark. Thus, Parkinson has failed to allege 19 facts that support a claim of trademark ownership. 20 Defendant's motion to dismiss is granted. 21 Counsel to prepare the order. 22 MR. TROJAN: Your Honor, is it with leave to 23 amend? 24 THE COURT: I don't know what you can amend to 25 under those circumstances.

5

MR. TROJAN: Well, I do believe we can amend. We 1 2 can amend based upon the fact that --3 THE COURT: You've alleged all the things that have to do with the trademark and with what Ms. Parkinson 4 5 owns. 6 MR. TROJAN: Well, we can amend the Complaint to 7 allege additional facts because we can allege that at the --8 the -- at the time of the trademark, simultaneously with the 9 assignment of the trademark, there was the license from 10 Ms. Parkinson to Robanda. So therefore the law is clear 11 that a licensee's use of the mark inures to the benefit of 12 the licensor. 13 THE COURT: That doesn't change anything in the 14 ownership of the trademark. No, it's with prejudice. 15 16 MR. MAYES: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 (Thereupon, proceedings adjourned) 18 19 -000-20 21 22 23 24 25

		6
1		
2		
3	CERTIFICATE	
4		
5	I hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753,	
6	Title 28, United States Code, the foregoing is a true and	
7	correct transcript of the stenographically reported	
8	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the	
9	transcript format is in conformance with the regulations of	
10	the Judicial Conference of the United States.	
11		
12	Date: January 23, 2014	
13		
14		
15	Lisa M. Gonzalez	
16	/s/	
17	Lisa M. Gonzalez, U.S. Court Reporter CSR No. 5920	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		